1987 RECEIVED BY DTIE SEP 7



.00. OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY operated by UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION CARBIDE

NUCLEAR DIVISION for the

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ORNL - TM - 1946

COPY NO. -

DATE - August 16, 1967

OBSTI PRICE

MN

REVIEW OF MOLTEN SALT REACTOR PHYSICS CALCULATIONS

R. S. Carlsmith L. L. Bennett G. E. Edison E. H. Gift W.E. Thomas F. G. Welfare

ABSTRACT

A set of calculations was made to check the reactivity and breeding ratio of the reference design of the MSBR. Insofar as possible, the cross sections and calculational methods were made independent of those used previously. The reference composition gave a k_{eff} of 0.95. When the reactor was made critical by the addition of 14% more ²³³U, the breeding ratio was 1.062 compared with 1.054 in the previous calculations. Reoptimization of the composition would probably decrease this difference in breeding ratio.

> NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

CONTENTS

Introduction		5
Summary of Results and Recommendations		8
Cross Sections		L4
Fission Product Treatment	2	25
Cell Calculations	••••••••	34
Two-Dimensional Calculations		44
Depletion Calculations		52

 \sim

2

2

LEGAL NOTICE

LEGAL NOTICE This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission: A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accu-racy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, Apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report, or that the use privately owned rights; or B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the ace of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report. As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any em-such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

Page



REVIEW OF MOLTEN SALT REACTOR PHYSICS CALCULATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

This review of the physics of the Molten Salt Breeder Reactor was undertaken for the purpose of providing an independent check of as many aspects as possible of the calculations already made. We have not attempted any further optimization. Instead we chose a design of the core and blanket regions for which calculations had already been made, and subjected this design to our analysis. Our primary interest was in the breeding ratio of the equilibrium reactor.

The design we chose is essentially the one presented as the reference case in ORNL-TM-1467 (Ref. 1). It is a 1000 Mw(e) power plant with a single core, separate fissile and fertile streams, and without provision for removal of 233 Pa from the fertile stream.

The previous calculation for this design is known as Case 555 in a series of calculations by the OPTIMERC code. Insofar as possible we attempted to specify the same geometry and composition as case 555, resisting suggestions that this case is already obsolete, so that a valid comparison could be made between the two calculations. For some regions, particularly for the lower blanket and plenum, the specifications for case 555 were not detailed enough for our calculations, or appeared to leave out certain components. To fill in these gaps we obtained additional layout drawings and dimensions from the group working on the design of the molten salt reactors.²

Our review covered six principal areas: cross section selection, fission product treatment, multigroup cell calculations, two-dimensional reactor criticality calculations, equilibrium depletion calculations, and start up depletion calculations. In each area we attempted to choose methods and data which were as independent as possible from those used previously. However, in a number of instances we used the same methods because alternate computer codes were not available, and for many nuclides we used essentially the same cross section data because they seemed most likely to be correct. The cross sections selected were mainly those that have been assembled over a period of years for use in reactor evaluation studies. We reviewed carefully the situation with regard to 233 U, whose cross sections always turn out to be the most important single factor in determining the neutron economy of thorium cycle reactors. We also reviewed the data for several other nuclides which are important to the MSER. These included ⁷Li, ⁶Li, Be, F, C, and Th.

We reviewed the fission product chains and chose to treat 32 nuclides explicitly in each of the fluid streams. The remainder of the fission products which were lumped together as a single pseudo-element gave a total fractional absorption of 0.005 per neutron absorbed in fuel.

Our basic cross section set consists of the 68 energy group library for the M-GAM code, used to generate broad-group cross sections above 1.86 ev, plus the 30 group library for the THERMOS code, from which the broad group cross sections below 1.86 ev are obtained. The M-GAM calculation gives a spectrum for the typical reactor cell and averages the cross sections over this cell. The heterogeneity of the cell for neutron energies above 30 kev was taken into account by a separate transport calculation of the flux distribution within the cell. Self-shielding and heterogeneity effects in the resonances of ²³³Pa. ²³⁴U. ²³⁶U. and Th were computed in the M-GAM code by the Adler, Hinman, and Nordheim (narrow resonance approximation) method. The THERMOS calculation gives an integral-transport solution to the group fluxes in a one-dimensional representation of the cell, and averages the cross sections over the spectrum and over the cell. In the M-GAM-THERMOS calculations we reduced the cross sections to a set consisting of five fast groups and four thermal groups. We did one calculation for the nuclides in a core cell and a second one for the blanket region. The previous calculations had also employed the M-GAM-THERMOS code but had included somewhat different approximations as to cell geometry, particularly with regard to the heterogeneity in the resonance absorption by the thorium.

We made a two-dimensional nine-group calculation of the entire reactor using the microscopic cross sections calculated by the M-GAM-THERMOS code and the nuclide densities specified for the reference case.

This calculation was done with the ASSAULT code. Considerable effort was made to represent realistically all of the blanket areas, structure, reflectors, and pressure vessel, as well as the core. The previous calculations made use of the MERC code which synthesizes the flux distribution from one-dimensional calculations in the radial and axial directions. After determining the multiplication factor for the specifed core composition, we changed the ²³³U concentration to obtain criticality. With this calculation we could examine the neutron balance for the various regions and the power distribution.

7

Using the reaction rates (one-group microscopic cross sections) obtained in the ASSAULT code calculation we did an equilibrium pointdepletion calculation. We used the LTM code, modified to do calculations of separate fertile and fissile streams with transfer of bred fuel from the fertile to the fissile stream, to calculate processing loss and fuel removal based on average concentrations, and to give specified cycle times. From the calculation with this code we obtained the equilibrium cycle neutron balance and the equilibrium breeding ratio. The cycle times for fissile and fertile streams, and the removal rates associated with reprocessing were taken from TM-1467. Previous calculations had used the MERC code to obtain this equilibrium neutron balance.

To check the assumption that the performance of the reactor can be adequately represented by an equilibrium cycle, we also calculated the heavy-element concentrations for a 30-year reactor history, starting with $93\% \ ^{235}U - 7\% \ ^{238}U$ as the initial fuel material. No calculations of this type had been done previously.

References

- P. R. Kasten et al., Summary of Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Design Studies, USAEC Report ORNL-TM-1467, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 24, 1966.
- 2. Personal communication from E. S. Bettis.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of Results

The most significant difference between the results of our calculations and previous ones was in the reactivity of the reference design. Using the reference composition we obtained a k_{eff} of 0.95. An additional 14% ²³³U was required to achieve criticality, holding all other concentrations constant. The discrepancy in k_{eff} is entirely traceable to the values used for thorium resonance integral. We calculated the resonance integral for the geometry of the reference design and obtained 36.5 barns. In the OPTIMERC calculations it had been found convenient to use the same resonance integral for all geometries being considered; the value assigned was 30.1 barns.

Our calculations agreed with the previous ones to within 0.01 in breeding ratio as shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. However, there were a number of individual differences of 0.001 to 0,005 in the neutron balance. An analysis of some of these is as follows:

	Present Calculations	Previous Calculations
Nuclear breeding ratio	1.062	1.054
Neutron production per fissile absorption $(\eta \varepsilon)$	2.228	2.221
Mean n of 233U	2.221	2.219
Mean η of 235_U	1.971	1.958
Power factor, core, peak/mean Radial Axial Total	2.18 1.51 3.29	2.22 1.37 3.04

Table 2.1 MSBR Performance Comparison

1. The average η of 233 U in our calculations was 2.221 while it was 2.219 previously. We used a 2200 m/sec η that was 0.003 higher but obtained a less thermal spectrum because of our higher fissile concentration. However, the previous calculations used only a single thermal

	Present C	alculation	Previous C	alculation
	Absorptions	Productions	Absorptions	Productions
232 _{Th}	0.9825	0.0056	0.9710	0.0059
233 _{Pa}	0.0078		0.0079	
233 _U	0.9156	2.0338	0.9119	2.0233
234 _U	0.0907	0.0014	0.0936	0.0010
235 _U	0.0844	0.1664	0.0881	0.1721
236 _U	0.0105	0.0002	0.0115	0.0001
237 _{Np}	0.0009	na sente d'anna a stàiteacha. Anna anna anna anna anna anna anna anna	0.0014	
238 ₀			0.0009	
Carrier salt (except ⁶ Li)	0.0605	0.0205	0.0623	0.0185
6 _{L1}	0.0080		0.0030	
Graphite	0.0261		0.0300	
135 _{Xe}	0.0050		0.0050	
149 _{Sm}	0.0078		0.0069	
151 _{Sm}	0.0018		0.0018	
Other fission products	0.0152		0.0196	
Delayed neutrons Lost	0,0051		0.0050	
Leakage	0.0010	2월 2월 20일 전망 1월 20일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일 - 19일	0.0012	
INOR	0.0050		land and a second s Second second	
TOTAL	2.2279	2.2279	2.2211	2.2209

Table 2.2 MSBR Neutron Balance Comparison

group for neutrons below 1.86 ev. Since the cross sections had been calculated with a composition which gave a harder spectrum than the reference case, the resulting η for the thermal group was lower than it would have been if the reference composition had been used. The total result for the η of ²³³U was an increase of 0.002 in breeding ratio for our calculations compared to the previous ones.

2. The average η of 235 U was 1.971 compared with 1.958 in the previous calculations. We believe that the new cross sections are likely to be better for this nuclide. The result was an increase in breeding ratio of 0.001.

3. We used a lower cross section for 234 U in accordance with the recommendations of the latest edition of ENL-325. As a consequence, more of the 234 U was removed with the excess uranium, and there were fewer absorptions in 235 U, 236 U, and 237 Np. The net result appears to have been an increase in breeding ratio of less than 0.001.

4. We did not include any ²³⁸U production in our calculation since it did not seem appropriate. Any other trans-uranium isotopes beyond ²³⁷Np should not lead to a net loss since they could probably be separated and sold if there were any tendency for them to accumulate. An increase in breeding ratio of 0.001 resulted.

5. Parasitic absorptions in carrier salt (other than ⁶Li) were lower because of the increased fissile loading in our calculation. An increase of 0.003 in breeding ratio occured.

6. Parasitic absorptions in graphite were lower for the same reason. An increase of 0.004 occured.

7. The previous calculations omitted the INOR tubes in the lower blanket. Although there is a possibility of reducing the effect by redesign, the current design gave a breeding ratio loss of 0.005 to absorptions in the INOR.

8. Our calculations gave an increase in breeding ratio of 0.003 from lower fission product absorption. About one-half of this difference came from nuclides which were allowed to recycle in the OPTIMERC calculation although belonging to chemical groups which are actually thought to be removed in reprocessing. It may be that the previous calculations were justified in introducing a measure of conservatism at this point. The remainder of the difference is associated with the higher fissile inventory in our calculations.

9. The previous calculations used a ⁷Li content in the makeup of 99.997% together with a cost of \$120 per kg. In reviewing the basis for this choice we find that the published AEC price schedule is for 99.990% ⁷Li at this price.¹ More recently it has been concluded by those working on molten salt reactor design that it would be reasonable to assume that 99.995% ⁷Li could be obtained in large quantities at \$120 per kg.² We have followed this latter assumption and used 99.995% ⁷Li in our calculations, leading to a decrease in breeding ratio of 0.002. In addition, the previous calculations neglected the production of ⁶Li in the core from n, α reactions in beryllium. This source of ⁶Li gave an additional 0.003 decrease in breeding ratio.

10. We obtained a 10% higher neutron production from the Be(n, 2n) reaction than in the previous calculations. The difference came from our taking into account the heterogeneity of the cell in the high-energy range. The effect on breeding ratio was an increase of about 0.001.

Although our calculations gave a net increase in breeding ratio of almost 0.01 compared to the previous ones, it should be kept in mind that this increase in breeding ratio was accompanied by an increase in fissile inventory. Indeed, the increase in breeding ratio is about what one would expect from the change in fissile inventory alone, so that other increases and decreases have approximately cancelded. A subsequent re-optimization would probably lead to a somewhat lower breeding ratio and lower inventory.

Table 2.3 shows a comparison of the two sets of calculations with respect to spacial distribution of neutron absorptions. There is generally good agreement. However, the how values of leakage obtained in our calculations raise a question as to whether the blankets are thicker than optimum.

	Present Calculation	Previous Calculation
Core	2.0305	2.0325
Radial blanket	0.1458	0.1375
Axial blanket	0.0451	0.0441
Radial leakage plus structure	0.0012	0.0019
Axial leakage plus structure	0.0002	0.0001
Delayed neutrons lost	0.0051	0.0050
Total	2.2279	2.2211

Table 2.3 MSBR Absorption Distribution Comparison

The power distributions obtained in the two-dimensional ASSAULT calculations agreed very closely with those of the one-dimensional OPTIMERC calculations (Table 2.1) with the exception of a slight increase near the central control channel which was not included in the OPTIMERC calculations.

When the reactor was started up on 235 U fuel, sale of fuel started after four months because the inventory requirements are less for 233 U than for 235 U. The breeding ratio was above unity after 18 months, although some isotopes did not approach their equilibrium value for about 10 years. The 30-year present-valued fuel cycle cost was only 0.02 mills/ kwhr(e) higher than the equilibrium fuel cycle cost. The 30-year average of the breeding ratio was 0.013 lower than the equilibrium value.

Recommendations

The OPTIMERC calculations have clearly provided a valuable and reasonably accurate assessment of the design configuration for the molten salt reactor. However, based on the results of our independent calculations, we believe that there are several points on which a more precise treatment of the physics would help future optimization studies. These points are listed below, roughly in the order of their importance.

1. The OPTIMERC code should be provided with a means of varying the thorium resonance cross sections as fertile stream concentration and geometry are changed. It is not likely to prove sufficient to recalculate the fissile (or fertile) loading for criticality of the final reference design since the optimization procedure is affected in a complex manner by gross changes in cross sections.

2. Optimization of the thicknesses of the axial and radial blankets should be rechecked using a calculational model that agrees with a twodimensional ASSAULT calculation for a base case.

3. The ⁶Li production from beryllium should be included. Another look at the ⁶Li concentration in the makeup lithium may be in order, although this is admittedly an area in which high precision is not possible.

4. Our cross sections for ²³⁴U and ²³⁵U are probably better than those previously used and should be considered in future calculations.

5. It would be desirable if OPTIMERC could be modified to allow multiple thermal groups, particularly so that a more correct calculation 233 could be made of the η of U as a function of fuel composition. As in the case with the thorium cross sections, the optimization cannot be carried out successfully if this variation is not built in to the code. If it is too difficult to provide for multiple thermal groups, then it may be preferable to reduce the thermal cut-off from 1.86 ev to about 1.0 ev.

6. Heterogeneity effects should be included in the high energy region.

As an added comment, it would be in order in the future for the reference design, as given by OPTIMERC, to be checked by a complete calculation in which the cross section reduction is redone for the reference composition.

References

 News in Brief; Supply of Lithium-7 Increased, H-Bomb Role Bared, Nucleonics, 17(11): 31, November 1959.

6

 L. G. Alexander, et al., Molten Salt Converter Reactor Design Study and Power Cost Estimates for a 1000 Mwe Station, USAEC Report ORNL-TM-1060, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1965.

3. CROSS SECTIONS

For the most part the cross sections used both in this review and in previous molten salt breeder reactor design studies are the same. The cross section data used have been accumulated over a period of about five years and regularly used for reactor evaluation studies. In this regard they have proven to be reasonably accurate in comparison with experiments and calculations by others. A summary of the basic thermal neutron cross section data used in this review and their experimental sources are given in Table 3.1. Table 3.2 lists the resonance fission and absorption integrals and the data sources for the same nuclides.

There are some small differences between the thermal neutron cross sections for some nuclides in this review and in the previous design studies. These differences are primarily a result of the issuance of Supplement II of ENL-325 (Ref. 1) which recommends some renormalization of previously accepted cross section values. The differences are shown in Table 3.3. Of these differences only those in 233 U, 235 U and 234 U are significant in the MSER and lead to a slightly higher breeding ratio. The use of 2200 m/sec ν of 2.503 rather than 2.500 for 233 U is consistent with the ENL-325 (Ref. 1) recommended value for the prompt ν of 2.497 ± 0.008 and a delayed neutron fraction of 0.00264. The η of 2.295 at 2200 m/sec for 233 U is within the uncertainty range for this nuclide although not necessarily more accurate than the value of 2.292 used in the previous calculations.

The 235 U 2200 m/sec data have been renormalized as recommended in ENL-325, the primary result being a slightly higher α (0.175 vs 0.174), a higher thermal v (2.442 vs 2.430), and a resulting slightly higher η (2.078 vs 2.070). The 10 barn difference in the 234 U thermal cross section makes a significant difference in the equilibrium concentrations of 234 U and 235 U.

The Brown St. John² heavy-gas model was used for the scattering kernel for all nuclides except carbon both in this review and in the previous design studies. For carbon the crystalline model as developed by Parks³ was employed. For the review calculations all kernels were computed for an average temperature of 900°K. This temperature was based

Table 3.1 Normalization and Data Sources of the Thermal Cross Sections Used in the MSBR Studies

Nuclide	σ _a (2200), b.	Data Sources	Basis for the Energy Dependence of the Cross Section
238 _U	2.73	BNL-325 (Ref. 1)	Assumed 1/v throughout thermal energy range.
236 _U	6.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 1)	Four lowest energy resonances and a computed negative energy resonance.
235 _U	$\sigma_{a} = 678.2$ $\sigma_{a} = 577.1$ $\nabla = 2.442$ $\eta = 2.0780$ $\alpha = 0.1752$	BNL-325 (Ref. 1)	Fission cross section based on recommended curve in ENL-325, 2nd Ed., Supp. 2, Vol. III. Capture cross section based on recent $\alpha(E)$ measurements of Brooks ⁴ and of Weston, DeSaussure et al. ⁵
234 _U	95.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 1)	Computed from two lowest positive energy reso- nances, and a computed negative energy resonance.
233 ₀	$\sigma_{a} = 574.0$ $\sigma_{f} = 526.2$ v = 2.503 $\eta = 2.2946$ $\alpha = 0.0908$	η based on data of Macklin et al., and of Gwin and Magnusson and $\sigma_{\rm B}$ from data of Block et al. with $\sigma_{\rm B}$ taken to be 13.0 b. based 1 on measurements of Oleksa.	The multilevel resonance parameters of Moore and Reich ⁹ and the $\alpha(E)$ data of BNL-325 (Ref. 9).
233 _{Pa}	43.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 1)	The resolved resonance parameters of Simpson et al. ¹²
232 _{Th}	7.56	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	The eight lowest energy resonance parameters as reported by Nordheim ¹³ and a computed negative energy resonance.
Chromium	3.1	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) [σ _g (2200) = 13.0 b.]	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
Iron	2.62	ENL-325 (Ref. 9) $[\sigma_{c}(2200) = 11.0 \text{ b.}]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.

5

a)

a)

Table	3.1 (cont	' a)
-------	-------	------	--------------

Nuclide	σ _a (2200), b.	Data Sources	Basis for the Energy Dependence of the Cross Section
Nickel	4.6	ENL-325 (Ref. 9) $[\sigma_{g}(2200) = 17.5 \text{ b.}]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
Molybdenum	2.70	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) $[\sigma_8(2200) = 7.06]$	Assumed l/v in thermal range.
Lead	0.170	BNL-325 (Ref. 9) $[\sigma_{s}(2200) = 11.0 \text{ b.}]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
Sodium	0.534	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) $[\sigma_{s}(2200) = 4.0 \text{ b.}]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
Fluorine	0.0098	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) $[\sigma_s(2200) = 3.9 \text{ b.}]$	Assumed l/v in thermal range.
Lithium-6	945.0	ENL-325 (Ref. 9) $[\sigma_{s}(2200) = 1.4]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range
Lithium-7	0.037	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) $[\sigma_{g}(2200) = 1.4]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range
Carbon	0.004	Average of measurements of delivered graphite to EGCR. $[\sigma_{s}(2200) = 4.8]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
Beryllium	0.0095	BNL-325 (Ref. 1) $[\sigma_s(2200) = 7.0]$	Assumed 1/v in thermal range
95 _{Mo}	13.9	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
129 _I	31.0	Block et al. ¹⁰	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
135 _{Xe}	2.65 x 10 ⁶	AEEW-R116 (Ref. 14)	Computed by method outlined in AEEW-R116.

Table	3.1	(cont'	'd)
	<u> </u>	`	

Nuclide	ở ₈ (2200), Ъ.	Data Sources	Basis for the Energy Dependence of the Cross Section
135 _{Cs}	8.7	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
143 _{Nd}	324.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	One positive energy resonance and a computed negative energy resonance.
145 _{Na}	60.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Two positive energy resonances and a computed negative energy resonance.
146 _{Nd}	10.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
148 _{Nd}	3.4	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
147 _{Pm}	235.0	σ ₂₂₀₀ from Schuman and Berreth; 16 resonance parameters from BNL-325 (Ref. 9).	Four positive energy resonances and a computed negative energy resonance.
147 _{Sm}	87.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Five positive energy resonances and a computed negative energy resonance.
148 _{Sm}	9.0	WAPD-TM-333 (Ref. 16)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
149 _{Sm}	40,800	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Seven positive energy resonances plus a 1/v adjustment to agree with experiment at 2200 m/sec
150 _{Sm}	85.0	WAPD-TM-333 (Ref. 16)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
151 _{Sm}	15,400	WASH-1029 (Ref. 17) for $\sigma_{\rm g}$ (2200); BNL-325 (Ref. 9) for resonance parameters.	Five positive energy resonances, a negative energy resonance.
152 _{Sm}	208	Bernabei ¹⁸	Computed from positive energy resonance para- meters of Ref. 18.

H

A)

Nuclide	σ _a (2200), b.	Data Sources	Basis for the Energy Dependence of the Cross Section
154 _{Sm}	5.5	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in thermal range.
153 _{Eu}	440.0	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Nine positive energy resonances plus a computed negative energy resonance.
154 _{Eu}	1,500	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in the thermal range.
155 _{Eu}	14,000	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Assumed 1/v in the thermal range.
155 _{Gd}	61,000	ENL-325 (Ref. 9) for 2200 m/s σ_a ; Moller et al. ¹⁹ for resonance parameters.	Three positive energy resonances.
157 _{Gd}	242,000	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)	Five positive energy resonances and a renormali- zation to the accepted 2200 m/s cross section.
237 _{Np}	170	BNL-325 (Ref. 9) for 2200 m/s $\sigma_{\rm g}$; WASH-1031 (Ref. 19) for resonance parameters.	All resonances given in WASH-1031 (Ref. 19) plus a computed negative energy resonance.

С.

Table 3.1 (Cont'd)

Nuclide	Absorption Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Fission Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Data Sources
238 _U	274	1.276	BNL-325 (Ref. 9)
236 _U	311	2.45	Harvey and Hughes (Ref. 20) and Ga-2451 (Ref. 21)
234 _U	689	4.51	Harvey and Hughes (Ref. 20) and GA-2451 (Ref. 21)
235 _U	447.2	298.3	Weston and DeSaussure (Ref. 33 and 23), BNL-325 (Ref. 1), Brooks (Ref. 1), Hopkins and Diven (Ref. 22), White (Ref. 24
233 _U	1,012	865	Pattenden and Harvey (Ref 25), Moore, Miller and Simpson (Ref. 26), Moore and Reich (Ref. 8), Hopkins and Diven (Ref. 22)
233 _{Pa}	925.0	4.477	Simpson (Ref. 12), Eastwood and Werner (Ref. 27), Halperin, et al. (Ref. 28)
232 _{Th}	83.7	0.38	Nordheim (Ref. 13), GA-2451 (Ref. 21), WASH-1006 (Ref. 29), WASH-1013 (Ref. 30), Butler and Santry (Ref. 31)
Chromium	1.55		GAM-II Library - (Based on ENL-325) (Ref. 9)
Iron	1.37		GAM-II Library - (Based on BNL-325) (Ref. 9)
Nickel	2.78		GAM-II Library - (Based on BNL-325) (Ref. 9)
Molybdenum	27.24		GAM-II Library
Lead	0.08		GAM-II Library
Sodium	0.3177		GAM-II Library

*

-

Table 3.2 Normalization and Date Sources for the Fast Cross Sections Used in MSBR Studies

Table 3.2 (cont'd)

Nuclide	Absorption Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Fission Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Data Sources
Fluorine	0.1839		BNL-325 (Ref. 9), E. A. Davis, et al., (Ref. 32), Marion and Brugger (Ref. 33), R. C. Block et al., (Ref. 34), F. Babbard, et al., (Ref. 35), Joanou and Fenech (Ref. 36).
Lithium-6	468.9		GAM-II Library - (Based on BN1- 325) (Ref. 1 and 9)
Lithium-7	0.0187		GAM-II Library - (Based on BNL- 325) (Ref. 1 and 5)
Carbon	0.00192		ENL-325 (Ref. 9)
Beryllium	0.1203		GAM-II Library - (Based on ENL- 325) (Ref. 1 and 9)
95 _{MO}	111.3		GAM-II Library
129 _I	39.45		GAM-II Library
135 _{Xe}	13,000		GAM-II Library
135 _{Cs}	35-33		GAM-II Library
143 _{Nd}	134		GAM-II Library
145 _{Nd}	314.6	х -	GAM-II Library
146 _{Nd}	8.78		GAM-II Library
148 _{Na}	10.5		GAM-II Library
147 _{Pm}	2,279	•	GAM-II Library
147 _{Sm}	609.7		GAM-II Library
148 _{Sm}	4.41		GAM-II Library
149 _{Sm}	3,148		GAM-II Library
150 _{Sm}	309.7		GAM-II Library



Table 3.2 (cont'd)

Nuclide	Absorption Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Fission Resonance Integral to 0.414 ev, b.	Data Source
 151 _{Sm}	2,480		GAM-II Library
152 _{Sm}	2,242		GAM-II Library
154 _{Sm}	2.72		GAM-II Library
153 _{Eu}	432.1		GAM-II Library
154 _{Eu}	1,010		GAM-II Library
155 _{Eu}	6,787		GAM-II Library
155 _{Gd}	1,668		GAM-II Library
157 _{Gd}	780		GAM-II Library
237 _{Np}	1,513.3	4.73	GAM-II Library, WASH-1031, (Ref. 19)

Table 3.3 Differences in Thermal Neutron Cross Sections in the MSER Review and in the Design Studies

	Used in Review			Used in Design Studie		tudies
Nuclide	σ _a ,b.	σ _f ,b.	γ	σ _a ,b.	σ _f ,b.	ν
233 _U	574.0	526.2	2.503	574.0	526.2	2,500
238 ₀	2.78			2.71		
235 _U	678.2	577.1	2.442	682.2	581.1	2.43
234 _U	95.0			105.0		
Iron	2.62			2.53		
Molybdenum	2.70			2.73		
Fluorine	0,0098			0.01		
L1-7	0.037			0.036	and a second second Second second second Second second	an a
Beryllium	0.0095			0.01		antina Ali

on an average fuel salt temperature of 922°K and an average blanket salt temperature of 895°K. The previous calculations were done with the kernels for fluorine, lithium and beryllium computed at 922°K and for the heavy metals and carbon at 1000°K.

References

- .1. J. R. Stehn et al., Neutron Cross Sections, USAEC Report ENL-325, 2nd Ed., Supplement No. 2, February 1965.
- 2. H. Brown and D. St. John, Neutron Energy Spectrum in D₂O, USAEC Report DO-33 (1954).
- 3. D. E. Parks, The Calculation of Thermal Neutron Scattering Kernels in Graphite, USAEC Report GA-2438 (October 1961).
- 4. F. D. Brooks et al., Eta and Neutron Cross Sections of U²³⁵ from 0.04 to 200 ev, AERE-M-1670, Harwell, November 1965.
- 5. Personal Communication L. Weston and G. DeSaussure to E. H. Gift, March 1966.
- 6. R. L. Macklin et al., Manganese Bath Measurements of Eta of U²³³ and U²³⁵, <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u>, 8(3): 210-220 (September 1960).
- R. Gwin and D. W. Magnuson, The Measurement of Eta and Other Nuclear Properties of U²³³ and U²³⁵ in Critical Aqueous Solution, <u>Nucl. Sci.</u> <u>Eng.</u>, 12(3): 364-380 (March 1962).
- 8. M. S. Moore and C. W. Reich, Multilevel Analysis of the Slow Neutron Cross Sections of U²³³, <u>Phy. Review</u>, 118(3): 714-718 (May 1960).
- D. Hughes et al., Neutron Cross Sections, USAEC Report ENI-325,
 2nd Ed., July 1958 and Supplement No. 1 dated January 1960.
- R. C. Block et al., Thermal Neutron Cross Section Measurements of U-233, U-235, Pu-240, U-234 and I-129 with the ORNL Fast Chopper Time of Flight Neutron Spectrometer, <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u>, 8(2): 112-121 (August 1960).
- S. Oleksa, Neutron Scattering Cross Section of U-233, Phys. Review, 109(5): 1645 (March 1958).
- 12. F. B. Simpson et al., The Resolved Resonance Parameters of Pa-233, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc., 9, page 433 (1964).
- 13. L. Nordheim, Resonance Absorption, USAEC Report GA-3973, Feb. 12, 1963.

- 14. H. M. Sumner, The Neutron Cross Section of Xe-135, AEEW-1116, Winfrith Report, (June 1962).
- 15. R. P. Schuman and J. R. Berreth, Neutron Activation Cross Sections of Pm-147, Pm-148 and Pm-148m, <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u>, 12(4): 519-522, April 1962.
- 16. T. R. England, Time Dependent Fission Product Thermal and Resonance Absorption Cross Sections, USAEC Report WAPD-TM-333 (November 1962).
- 17. J. P. Harvey, Reports to the AEC Nuclear Cross Sections Advisory Group, USAEC Report WASH-1029, September 1960.
- Brother Austin Bernabei et al., Neutron Resonance in Samarium, Nucl. Sci. Eng., 12(1): 63-67 (January 1962).
- 19. J. A. Harvey, Reports to the AEC Nuclear Cross Sections Advisory Group, USAEC Report WASH-1031, February 1961.
- 20. J. A. Harvey and D. J. Hughes, Spacing of Nuclear Energy Levels, Phys. Review, 109(2): 471-479 (January 1958).
- 21. G. D. Joanou et al., Nuclear Data for GAM-I, DATA Tape, USAEC Report GA-2451, August 1961.
- J. C. Hopkins and B. C. Diven, Neutron Capture to Fission Ratios in
 U-233, U-235, and Pu-239, <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u>, 12(2): 169-177 (Feb. 1962).
- L. W. Weston, D. DeSaussure and R. Gwin, Ratio of Capture to Fission in U-235 at kev Neutron Energies, <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u>, 20(1): 80-87 (September 1964).
- 24. P. H. White, Measurements of the U-235 Neutron Fission Cross Section in the Energy Range 0.04 to 14 Mev, <u>J. Of Nucl. Energy</u>, Parts A/B, 19: 325-334 (1965).
- 25. N. J. Pattenden and J. A. Harvey, Tabulation of the Neutron Total Cross Section of U-233 from 0.07 to 10000 ev Measured with the ORNL Fast Chopper, USAEC Report ORNL-IM-556, April 1963.
- 26. M. S. Moore, L. G. Miller and O. D. Simpson, Slow Neutron Total and Fission Cross Sections of U-233, <u>Phys. Review</u>, 118(3): 714-717 (May 1960), also reported in IDO-16576.
- 27. T. A. Eastwood and Werner, The Thermal Neutron Capture Cross Section and Resonance Capture Integral of Pa-233, <u>Can. J. Of Phys.</u>, 38: 751-769 (1960).

- 28. J. Halperin et al., The Thermal Cross Section and Resonance Integral of Pa-233, USAEC Report ORNL-3320.
- 29. V. Sailor, Reports to the AEC Nuclear Cross Section Advisory Group, USAEC Report WASH-1006.
- 30. V. Sailor, Reports to the AEC Nuclear Cross Section Advisory Group, USAEC Report WASH-1013.
- 31. J. P. Butler and D. C. Santry, Th-232 (n,2n) Th-231 Cross Section from Threshold to 20.4 Mev, <u>Can. J. Chem.</u>, 39: 689-696 (1961).
- 32. E. A. Davis et al., Disintegration of B-10 and F-19 by Fast Neutrons, <u>Nucl. Phys.</u>, 27: 448-466 (1961).
- J. B. Marion and R. M. Brugger, Neutron Induced Reactions in Fluorine, <u>Phys. Review</u>, 100(1): 69-74 (October 1955).
- 34. R. C. Block, W. Haeberli, H. W. Newson, Neutron Resonances in the key Region: Differential Scattering Cross Sections, <u>Phys. Review</u>, 109(5): 1620-1631 (March 1958).
- 35. F. Gabbard, R. H. Davis, T. W. Bonner, Study of the Neutron Reactions Li⁶(n,α) H³, F¹⁹(n,λ) F²⁰, I¹²⁷(n,λ) I¹²⁸, Phys. Review, 114(1): 201-209 (April 1959).
- 36. G. D. Joanou and H. Fenech, Fast Neutron Cross Sections and Legendre Expansion Coefficients for Oxygen-16, <u>J. Nucl. Energy</u>, 17: 425-434 (Dec. 1963).

4. FISSION PRODUCT TREATMENT

The MERC calculation includes ~125 fission product nuclides in explicit chains. However, many of these nuclides make extremely small contributions to the overall fission product poison fraction. To facilitate our ASSAULT and LTM calculations, it appeared desirable to include these small contributions in one or more pseudo-elements, with only the more important nuclides being treated explicitly.

The long fission product treatment used in the advanced converter study (ORNL-3686) was chosen as the basic "complete" fission product description. This description is pictured in Fig. 4.1.

Since the fluid-fuel system in the MSER allows some of the fission products to be stripped as gases or be removed in fuel processing, the above treatment needs to be modified to include those effects. The modifications made are discussed below.

1. $\frac{105_{\text{Rh}}-109_{\text{Ag Chain}}}{100_{\text{Rh}}}$ All the nuclides in this chain plate out on metal surfaces and are assumed to be removed instantaneously.

2. $\frac{115}{\text{In}}$. Assumed to be removed instantaneously by plating out on metal surfaces.

3. <u>99No-¹⁰³Rh Chain</u>. All the nuclides beyond and including ¹⁰⁰Ru plate out on metal surfaces. Instantaneous removal.was assumed. Since the ⁹⁹Mo half life is only 66.5 hr, it was assumed that the ⁹⁹Mo fission fission yield produces ⁹⁹Tc instantly.

4. $\frac{131}{1-131}$ Xe. Assumed that ¹³¹I decays instantly (8 day half-life) to ¹³¹Xe which is removed instantly by gas stripping.

5. $\frac{136}{Xe}$. Removed instantly by gas stripping.

6. $\frac{133}{Xe}$. Removed instantly by gas stripping.

7. $\frac{135}{\text{Xe}}$. The assumptions of the MERC studies stated that a poison fraction of 0.0050 (fractional loss per neutron absorbed in fuel) would be assumed for ^{135}Xe to allow for any absorption of xenon and krypton by graphite. Since this assumption determines the ^{135}Xe concentration, the ^{135}I precursor is not included in the chains.

The reduced set of explicit fission product chains is shown in Fig. 4.2. Yield fractions for the explicit nuclides are listed in Table 4.1.



Fig. 4.1 Nuclide Chains Used in Long Fission-Product (LFP) Treatment in ORNL-3686.





ense, islički bazarovala (so s

Nuclide	Half Life	233 _U Fission Yield Fraction	235 _U Fission Yield Fraction
Zr-95	65a	0.0615	0.0620
Nb-95	35a	0.0007	0.0007
Mo-95	۵۵ E	0	0
Tc-99	$2.1 \times 10^{7} y$	0.0496	0.0606
Ca-113	∞	0.0002	0.00012
Te-129m	33a -	0.0075	0.0035
I-129	1.7×10^{9}	0.0095	0.0045
I-131	8.05a	0.0341	0.0293
Xe-135	6	(See note 1 below)	
Cs-135	$2.6 \times 10^{\circ} y$	Ô	0.0011
Pr-143	13.7d	0.0591	0.0603
Na-143	8	0	0
Na-145	.	0.0338	0.0398
Na-146	8	0.0258	0.0307
Na-147	11.1d	0.0193	0.0236
Na-148	co	0.0128	0.0171
Pm-147	2.65y	0	0
Pm-148	5.39a	0	0
Pm-148m	40.6a	0	0
Pm-149	53.1h	0.0077	0.0113
Pm-151	28.4h	0-0035	0.0044
Sm-147	60	0	0
Sm-148	. CO	0	0
Sm-149	80	· 0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
Sm-150	ß	0	0
Sm-151	80y	0	0
Sm-152		0.0022	0.00281
Sm-154	co	0.00047	0.00077
Eu-153	co	0.0015	0.00169
Eu-154	16y	0	0
Eu-155	4y	0.00030	0.00033
Ga-155		0	0
Gd-157	60	0.0000635	0.000078
LFP-1		(See note 2 below)	
LFP-2		(See note 2 below)	
LFP-3		(See note 2 below)	

Table 4.1 Yield Fractions and Half-Life for Fission Products

1. Concentration of 135 Xe is determined by the assumption of 0.005 poison fraction. Fission yield fraction does not enter the calculation.

2. The poison fractions for these nuclides were obtained from ASSAULT calculations using the concentrations from Table 4.2. This poison fraction was then used as input to time dependent calculations. Those nuclides which are not included explicitly and which are not assumed to be removed instantly by plating out or gas stripping are placed in one of three lumped pseudo-elements, which are described below.

1. Pseudo-element No. 1. Includes those nuclides which are removed by fluoride-volatility during fuel reprocessing.

2. Pseudo-element No. 2. Includes those nuclides which are removed by vacuum distillation during fuel reprocessing.

3. Pseudocelement No. 3. Includes those nuclides which are removed only by discarding some of the carrier salt.

The properties of these pseudo-elements are listed in Table 4.2 below.

		ta di Kabupatén K	
		Pseudo-Elemen	nts
	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3
Effective Cross Section			and a state of the
$\sigma_{a}(2200)$, barns	1.1372	3.6037	0.5061
$I_a(E > 0.414 \text{ ev})$, barns	12.2266	6.4986	2.5119
Effective Concentration, ^a atoms/barn-cm			
In fuel salt	2.84093-06	6.90232-06	1.28997 ⁻⁰¹
In fertile salt	3.79832-09	3.28086-06	2.83107-0

Table 4.2 Effective Cross Sections and Concentrations for Pseudo-Elements in MSBR

^aNote that these are concentrations in the salt stream. For use in reactor calculations, these concentrations must be multiplied by the volume fraction of salt in a given region.

The nuclides which were included in each of these pseudo-elements are listed in Tables 4.3 through 4.5. The concentrations listed were taken from MERC case 555. These concentrations are the number densities in the salt itself.

The cross sections for the pseudo-elements were formed for each energy group in GAM and THERMOS by summing the product of concentration times group cross section for all the individual nuclides in the

	σ ₈ (2200)	RI Darns	Concentration, atoms/b-cm		
NUCTIGE	barns		Fuel Stream	Fert. Stream	
Br-81	3.3	57.63	6.0518 ⁻⁰⁸	8.7537-11	
мо-96	1.2	30.87	9.8135-09	7.1924-13	
Mo-97	2.2	15.30	7.6741-07	1.0316-09	
мо-98	0.51	5.95	7.5249-07	1.0119-09	
Mo-100	0.5	7.87	6.5213-07	8.5615-10	
Te-126	0.8	10.98	3.2118-08	4,6696-11	
Te-128	0.3	2.52	1.3583 ⁻⁰⁷	1.9458-10	
Te-130	0.5	2.01	3.7842-07	5.2536-10	
I-127	7.0	155.90	5.2200 ⁻⁰⁸	7.5838-11	
Summed co	oncentratio	ns	2.84093-06	3.83038-09	
Effective	e σ _a (2200),	barns	1.1372	1.1468	
Effective	e RI, barns		12.2266	12.3985	

Table 4.3 Fission Product Nuclides Included in Pseudo-Element No. 1

Table 4.4 Fission Product Nuclides Included in Pseudo-Element No. 2

Nuel d de	σ _a (2200) barns	RI	Concentration, atoms/b-cm		
Nucliue		barns	Fuel Stream	Fert. Stream	
Sr-86	1.65	0.65 ⁸	1.4223-09	5.9135-10	
Sr-88	0.005	0.057	6.9942-07	3.2394-07	
Y-8 9	1.31	0.792	9.1064-07	4.1837-07	
Y-90	3.5	1.4 ^a	7.0060 ⁻⁰⁸	2.1953 ⁻¹⁰	
Ba-136	0.4	13.01	1.4357 ⁻⁰⁹	1.2256 ⁻⁰⁹	
Ba-137	5.1	7.77	5.2406-08	1.3713-07	
Ba-138	0.7	0.368	9.6240-07	4.4279-07	
La-139	8.9	11.0	9.1588 ⁻⁰⁷	3.9520-07	
Ce-140	0.66	0.477	8.8026-07	4.1478 ⁻⁰⁷	

Table 4.4 (cont'd)

	σ_(2200)	RI	Concentration, atoms/b-cm		
Nuclide a bar	barns	barns	Fuel Stream	Fert. Stream	
Ce-142	1.0	2.654	8.1908-07	3.6721-07	
Ce-143	6.0	2.4 ^a	1.0447-11	5.4542-13	
Pr-141	11.6	24.08	8.4718 ⁻⁰⁷	3.5522-07	
Pr-142	18.0	7.1 ⁸	3.8145 ⁻⁰⁹	2.6162-08	
Na-144	5.0	13.85	6.6381-07	4.5433-07	
Nd-150	3.0	10.86	7.0879 ⁻⁰⁸	3.0366-08	
Ga-156	4.0	33.97	2.9716 ⁻⁰⁹	1.2041-09	
Ga-158	3.9	32.03	5.7834-10	2.9853-10	
TD-159	45.0	438.00	7.4401-11	1.8706-11	
Summed co	oncentratio	ns	6.90232-06	3.36906-06	
Effective	$\sigma_{\rm B}(2200),$	barns	3.6037	3.7006	
Effective	e RI, barns		6.4986	6.6896	

⁸Nuc_ide not on GAM library. Used group cross sections for pure 1/v nuclide (RI = 0.5014 barns) with nuclide con-centrations multiplied by ratio of (RI_i)/0.5014.

2

Nuclide	σ ₋ (2200) RI		Concentration, atoms/b-cm		
	barns	barns	Fuel Stream	Fert. Stream	
Rb-85	0.91	0.671	5.1984-06	1.2251 ⁻⁰⁷	
Rb-87	0.12	0,166	1.1084-05	2.5896-07	
Zr-90	0.10	0.540	5.2226-10	1.4553-12	
Zr-91	1.58	7.45	1.8109 ⁻⁰⁵	4.1428-07	
Zr-92	0.25	0.264	1.9327-05	4.4042-07	
Zr-93	1.10	7.96	1.9072-05	4.3664-07	
Zr-94	0.076	0.15	2.0215-05	4.5786-07	

Table 4,5 Fission Product Nuclides Included in Pseudo-Element No. 3

Table	4.5	\mathbb{N}	cont	'd)
			•	-

Nuclide	a_(2200)	RI barns	Concentration, atoms/b-cm			
	barns		Fuel Stream	Fert. Stream		
Zr-96	0.053	0.32	1.6454-05	3.6922+07		
Cd-111	2.0	51.09	6.4725-08	1.5078-09		
Cd-112	0.03	12.99	5.8933-08	1.3793-09		
Ca-114	1.24	14.38	1.0804-07	2.5536-09		
Sn-116	0.006	14.0 ⁸	1.3033-08	2.0729-11		
Cs-137	0.11	0.653	1.9292-05	3.2572-07		
Summed co	oncentratio	ns	1.28997-04	2.83107-06		
Effective	e σ _a (2200),	barns	0.5061	0.5242		
Effective	e RI, barns	•	2.5119	2.5922		

⁶Nuclide not on GAM library. Used group cross sections for pure 1/v nuclide (RI = 0.5014 barns) with nuclide concentrations multiplied by ratio of (RI₁)/0.5014.

pseudo-element and then dividing by the summed concentrations. That is, the effective group cross section for the pseudo-element is defined to be

 $\sigma_g^{eff} = \Sigma N_i \sigma_g^i / \Sigma N_i$,

11

where g is a GAM or THERMOS group number, and i identifies an individual nuclide included in the pseudo-element.

Performing this calculation for each group, we obtain an energydependent effective microscopic cross section for each pseudo-element. These numbers are then placed on the GAM and THERMOS libraries for use in spectrum calculations.

The summed concentrations and cross sections calculated for the three lumped fission products are presented at the bottom of Tables 4.2 through 4.4.

Since the effective microscopic cross sections for the lumped pseudoelement differs only slightly between the fuel and fertile stream, only

the cross sections for fuel-stream pseudo-elements were put on the library tapes. The summed concentrations for the fertile stream pseudo-element were then multiplied by the ratio of $\sigma_0(\text{fertile})/\sigma_0$ (fuel) in order to obtain the correct reaction rate at 2200 m/sec. The properties of the lumped pseudo-elements are summarized in Table 4.2.

the second statement of the second second

경험가 관련하다 문

가는 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다. 이 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다.

laye sale

5. CELL CALCULATIONS

The infinite-medium code M-GAM was used to calculate spectra and to obtain energy-averaged cross sections for neturons from 10 Mev to 1.86 ev. Two of these calculations were made, one for the typical core cell, shown in Fig. 5.1 and the other for a homogeneous cell representing the blanket composition. Two subsidiary calculations were done first to provide input -information for the M-GAM calculation: a calculation of high energy intracell flux ratios and a calculation of effective chord length for the resonance absorbers. The intra-cell flux ratios were obtained for the energy groups between 10 Mev and 30 kev, by means of a one-dimensional calculation of the cell in $S_{l_{i}}$ approximation to the transport equation. The code ANISN was used. Thirty group cross sections were obtained through use of GAM-II to reduce the 94 group library data. The cell was approximated by a series of concentric annuli as shown in Fig. 5.2 and described in Tables 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3. The calculated ratios of region flux to cell flux are shown in Table 5.4. The values appearing in Table 5.4 were averaged by volume and density to obtain the proper factors for input by nuclide to the M-GAM calculation.

The effective resonance integrals for the resonance absorbers are calculated in the M-GAM code by means of the narrow resonance and infinite mass approximations. Heterogeneity effects are accounted for by specifying the effective chord length of a sphere, infinite cylinder, or infinite slab which gives the same collision probabilities as the actual region containing the resonance absorber. Since the fissile and fertile stream regions of the MSER cell (Figure 5.1) do not correspond to a lattice of one of these simple shapes, a calculation of the collision probabilities was required. We used a three-dimensional Monte Carlo code in which the neutron histories were started with random position and direction within the material for which the collision probability was to be calculated. The fraction having a first collision in each of the materials was computed for several values of its total cross section. The fissile stream regions in the core cell corresponded to an infinite cylinder with radius of 2.87 ± 0.07 cm with 95% confidence. This value was used for the isotopes,



Fig. 5.1 Molten Salt Breeder Reactor Core Cell.

ł





Table 5.1. Figure 5.1 Materials

Zone	Materials
1	Fissile Stream
2	Graphite
3	Fissile Stream
4	Graphite
5	Fertile Stream
6	Graphite
7	Fertile Stream

Table 5.2. Figure 5.1 Dimensions

Radius	Length (cm)
R ₁	1.8098
R ₂	2.8882
R ₃	3.4617
R ₄	4.4450
R5	4.6863
R ₆	6.3346
R ₇	6.4013

Nuclide	Pure Fuel	Pure Fertile	Pure Graphite	Cell Average
Be	1.2239-02	5.2320-04		0.21057-02
C			9.53-02	7.2105-02
Th-232		7.0630-03		0.52641-03
Pa-233		2.79163-06		0.20806-06
U-233	7.00685-05	1.57079-06		1.19484-05
U-23 4	2.51191-05	1.53989-08		0.42427-05
U-235	7.63459-06	5.02589-11		1.28918-06
U-236	8.45420-06	1.11775-13		1.42758-06
U-238	7.20564-07			1.21674-07
Cr*				
Fe*				
Ni*			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
Mo*				
Mo-95	8.79340-07	1.20594-09	and a second	1.48575-07
Tc-99	6.91964-07	9.33264-10		1.16915-07
Cd-113	1.89260-10	5.01213-12		0.32332-10
I-129	2.71048-07	3.88965-10		0.45798-07
Xe-135	1.28135-10			0.21637-10
Ca-135	2.16231-07	1.95074-13		0.36513-07
Na-143	6.94383-07	1.33582-07		1.27210-07
Nd-145	4.27650-07	1.31705-07	· · ·	0.82029-07
Na-146	3.51506-07	2.12537-07		0.75195-07
Na-148	1.77059-07	7.24269408		0.35296-07
Pm-147	2.00812-07	1.20786-08		0.34809-07
Sm-147	6.48113-09	2.93786-08		3.28399-09
Sm-148	2.02350-08	7.19904-08		0.87823-08
Sm-149	5.39408-09	1.58085-10		0.92262-09
Sm-150	1.06162-07	2.97040-08		0.20141-07
Sm-151	1.76667-08	1.60114-09		0.31025-08
Sm-152	4.34709-08	1.10604-08		0.81648-08
Sm-154	5.75048-09	2.27567-09		1.14064-09
Eu-153	1.97099-08	1.14064-08		0.41783-08
Eu-154	2.65506-09	4.13375-09		0.75642-09
Eu-155	3.31571-10	6.01616-10		1.00827-10
Ga-155	1.49662-10	3.75438-12		0.25552-10
Ga-157	7.12370-12	2.35735-13		1.22048-12
Pm-148*	-			
rm-140m	3.22506-09	4.35073-11		0.54782-09
Np-237	1.64999-07	4.47285-14		0.27862-07
Pr-143	2.61836-10	2.29571-09		2.15313-10
F. (4.6341-02	4.7870-02		1.13929-02
L1-6	1.3174-07	9.8022-08		0.29552-07
L1-7	2.1460-02	1.8570-02		0.50077-02

Table 5.3. Atomic Densities for MSBR Calculations (Atoms per barn cm.)

*Trace quantities assumed for the cell calculation.

Table 5.3. (cont'd)

Nuclide	Pure	Pure	Pure	Cell
	Fuel	Fertile	Graphite	Average
LFP-1	2.84093-06	3.79832-09		0.48000-06
LFP-2	6.90232-06	3.28086-06		1.41005-06
LFP-3	1.28997-04	2.73331-06		0.21986-04

Table 5.4. Ratio of Average Fast Flux by Zone and Group* to the Cell Average

Zone	Group 1	Group 2
1	1.297	1.121
2	1.134	1.056
3	1.104	1.038
Ĩ,	0.9913	0.9969
5	0.9347	0.9775
6	0.9015	0.9603
7	0.8968	0.9585

Group 1 is 0.82 Mev to 10 Mev. Group 2 is 0.03 Nev to 0.82 Mev.

 234 U, 236 U, and 238 U. The fertile stream regions in the core cell corresponded to an infinite slab with thickness of 0.277 ± 0.002 cm. This value was used for 233 Pa and 232 Th.

The atomic densities of the pure streams (Table 5.3) were volume weighted to obtain the mixture densities for the M-GAM calculation. The resonance materials were assumed to be at 900° K. Five group cross sections were generated by M-GAM. These groups were as follows: 10 Mev to 0.821 Mev, 0.821 Mev to 0.0318 Mev, 31.8 kev to 1.234 kev, 1234 ev to 47.8 ev, and 47.8 ev to 1.86 ev.

Figure 5.3 shows the epithermal neutron spectra as calculated by M-GAM for the blanket and core cells. The outstanding features of these curves are the dips in the lethargy range 2.5 to 6 and 10-5 to 13. The behavior in the range 2.5 to 6 may be explained on the basis of scattering resonances in fluorine. An inelastic resonance corresponds to the first



్ర్

.

of these dips and elastic resonances to the second and third dips. The behavior in the lethargy range from 10.5 to 13 is caused by thorium absorption. Thorium has four large resonances which correspond in lethargy to the four dips appearing in this range. The fluctuations are always much more pronounced in the blanket calculation than in the cell calculation because the average densities of fluorine and thorium are much greater in the blanket than in the core.

THERMOS is a one-dimensional integral transport code which calculates the scalar thermal neutron spectrum as a function of position. The basic THERMOS data consists of a thirty group library tape. These fine groups are then averaged over the spectrum and over the cell to obtain broad group cross sections. In the present calculations four broad group thermal cross sections were generated with energy limits as follows: 0.005 ev to 0.06 ev, 0.06 ev to 0.18 ev, 0.18 ev to 0.77 ev and 0.77 ev to 1.86 ev.

The one-dimensional geometry of THERMOS makes necessary the description of the cell as a series of concentric annular rings. The core cell description for THERMOS was identical to that previously described for ANISN with one exception. Experience has shown that to obtain proper fluxes in THERMOS the unit cell should be enclosed in a region called a heavy scatterer. This region consists of a heavy nuclide whose only reaction is scattering. The unit cell as shown in Fig. 5.2 and described in Tables 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 was enclosed in such a region for the THERMOS calculation.

The temperature of all materials in the cell and the blanket was assumed to be 900°K.

The spatial variation of the flux in two of the fine groups is shown in Fig. 5.4 (energies of 0.02-0.03 ev and 0.07-0.08 ev). The spatial variation is clearly quite small. Fig. 5.5 shows the energy distribution of the average flux for the cell and blanket calculations.



ORNL DWG. 66-8067

ភ

Radius (cm)



6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL CALCULATIONS

The reactor was described in R-Z geometry as shown in Fig. 6.1. (All dimensions on this figure are in feet.) Forty-eight mesh lines were used in the radial direction and 67 axially. The region compositions are listed in Table 6.1 and the main core densities are listed in Table 6.2. Region 11, the center control rod, was treated as a typical fuel cell (Figure 5.1) with the fuel stream replaced by graphite. In the lower axial blanket (region 12), each fuel tube was considered to be 100% INOR-8 between the core and the lower plenum. Region 18 is a vacuum region which is used to impose a "black" boundary condition on the adjacent fuel and INOR boundaries. Region 19 is an annulus of fuel representing the four sets of entrance and exit fuel pipes at the bottom of the reactor. Where possible, reactor dimensions and volume fractions were made the same as in the previous calculations (case 555).

The nine-group neutron diffusion equations were solved with the ASSAULT code.¹ A value of $k_{eff} = 0.95$ was obtained. The decrease in k_{eff} of 0.05 compared to the previous calculations is entirely attributable to a 21% higher thorium resonance integral used in our calculations.

A fuel search calculation was then made on the 233 U concentration in the fuel stream until the reactor was just critical. A 233 U concentration increase of 13.9% was required to raise k_{eff} to unity. A regionby-region neutron balance for the critical configuration is given in Table 6.3. Only about 0.006 of the absorptions per fissile absorption occur outside the surrounding blankets, indicating optically thick blankets. In fact, the axial leakage is so small that the axial blankets could be made somewhat thinner without affecting the neutron economy. However, about 0.005 neutrons absorbed in the lower axial blanket are captured by INOR. This loss in breeding ratio might be avoided if it is possible to extend the graphite fuel tubes below the core about 6-12 in. before making the transition to INOR.

The power density is very nearly in a J_0 distribution radially and a cosine distribution axially, as shown in Fig. 6.2 and 6.3. The radial distribution corresponds to the mid-plane of the core, and the axial traverse was made near the center of the core at r= 17 cm. Power densities



	Region	Composition (Volume fractions)
1	Core	Fuel stream - 0.16886 Fertile stream - 0.07453 Graphite - 0.75661
2-7	Upper and radial blankets and outer streams	Pure fertile stream
8-10	Upper and radial reflectors and core tie-band	Pure graphite
11	Center control rod channel	Fertile stream - 0.07453 Graphite - 0.92547
12	Lower axial blanket	Fuel stream - 0.16539 Fertile stream - 0.79517 INOR-8 - 0.03944
13	Fuel plenum	Fuel stream - 0.85484 INOR-8 - 0.14516
14-17	Reactor vessel and structural INOR-8	Pure INOR-8
18	"Black Boundary" region	Vacuum with flux extrapolation condition
19	Fuel inlet and exit ducts	Fuel stream - 0.89437 INOR-8 - 0.10563

Table 6.1 Region Composition

were normalized to the average <u>core</u> power density, 2.48 x 10^{12} fission/ cm³ sec (at 200 Mev/fission). The radial peaking near the center of the core results from the replacement of fuel with graphite in the central graphite fuel cell. The peak power density, P/P = 3.29, occurs at the core mid-plane adjacent to the central graphite cell. The radial and axial peaking factors are 2.18 and 1.51, respectively. If the radial power distribution is extrapolated to avoid peaking effects due to the central element treatment, P/P = 3.08. These values are to be compared with radial, axial, and total peaking factors from previous work (case 555) of 2.22, 1.37, and 3.04, respectively. At the radial and upper axial core-blanket interfaces, the power density drops off very rapidly because of the sudden decrease in fuel concentration in the blankets. However, fuel does flow up through the lower axial blanket, and power generation extends about 10 cm below the core boundary as defined in Fig. 6.1.

Nuclide	Fuel	Fertile
232 _{Th}		5.26410×10^{-4}
233 _{Pa}		2.08060×10^{-7}
233 _U	1.34708 x 10-5	1.17071 x 10-7
234 _U	4.24160 x 10-6	1.14767 x 10-9
235 _U	1.28918 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.74580 x 10-12
236 _U	1.42758 x 10 ⁻⁶	8.33060 x 10 ⁻¹⁵
237 _{Np}	2.78620×10^{-8}	3.33360 x 10 ⁻¹⁵
Ве	2.06670×10^{-3}	3.89941 x 10 ⁻⁵
6 _{L1}	2.22460×10^{-8}	7.30600 x 10-9
7 _{L1}	3.62370 x 10-3	1.38400×10^{-3}
F	7.82510 x 10-3	3.56730 x 10 ⁻³
135 _{Xe}	2.16370 x 10 ⁻¹¹	1.0 x 10 ⁻²⁰
149 _{Sm}	9.10840 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.17800×10^{-11}

Table 6.2 Core Number Densities (atoms/barn-cm core)

	Region	Neutrons per Fissile Absorption	
		Losses	Productions
1	Core	2.0369	2.2160
2	Upper axial blanket	0.0188	0.0007
3	Upper corner blanket	0.0009	0.0000
4	Radial blanket	0.1360	0.0051
5	Lower corner blanket	0.0009	0.0000
6	Radial outer stream	0.0006	0.0000
7	Upper outer stream	0.0000	0.0000
8	Core tie-band	0.0000	-
9	Upper reflector	0.0000	
10	Radial reflector	0.0000	- 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19
11	Central control rod channel	0.0033	0.0001
12	Lower axial blanket	0.0232	0.0058
13	Fuel plenum	0.0002	0.0002
14	Upper vessel structure	0.0000	-
15	Radial vessel structure	0.0010	-
16	Lower vessel structure	0.0000	—
17	Plenum structure	0.0000	-
19 -	Fuel ducts	0.0000	0.0000
	Upper leakage	0.0000	and a second
	Lower leakage (includes		
	region 18) ^a	0.0000	· · · ·
	Radial leakage	0.0010	-
	Delayed neutrons lost ^D	0.0051	
		2.2279	2.2279

Table 6.3 Region Neutron Balance

^aRegion 18 is a "black" region located below the reactor vessel.

^bDelayed neutrons emitted outside the core.







. .

References

 D. R. Vondy, T. B. Fowler, M. L. Tobias, Reactor Depletion Code ASSAULT (Two-Dimensional, Multi-Neutron-Group, Diffusion), ORNL-TM-1302, March 1966.

7. DEPLETION CALCULATIONS

The previous calculations used the MERC code which obtains equilibrium reaction rates and equilibrium concentrations automatically. The concentrations are obtained from the ERC portion of MERC which solves directly the coupled equations of the equilibrium condition for a circulating fuel reactor. Since we wished to make an independent check on the validity of the solution, and had no other code available which solves the same problem, we made extensive modifications to an existing code. We took the LTM code, which does a multigroup point-depletion calculation of the equilibrium cycle for a solid-fuel reactor, and changed it to do a onegroup, point-depletion calculation of the fluid fuel cycle. This required that the code use average stream densities for losses and removals, that it permit specification of separate cycle times for the two streams, and that the nuclide chains be modified to include all of those discussed in section 4 of this report.

We used the one-group cross sections (microscopic reaction rates) obtained from the ASSAULT calculation for each nuclide in each stream. The removal of fission products was treated as indicated in section 4. Losses of uranium isotopes were assumed to be 0.001 per reprocessing cycle, loss of 233 Pa was assumed to be 0.00001 per cycle, and 237 Np was assumed to be removed completely each cycle. Complete transfer of uranium isotopes from the fertile to the fissile stream at the end of each fertile stream cycle was assumed.

Table 7.1 gives the resulting neutron balance. The gross (nuclear) breeding ratio is 1.062 compared with 1.054 previously obtained (ORNL-TM-1467, calculated from Table 8).

Previous calculations have made the tacit assumption that the equilibrium fuel cycle can be used to represent the reactor history though it is known that some molten salt reactors might have to be started up on a uranium fully enriched in 235 U.

In order to check the validity of the equilibrium assumption, we did a time-dependent depletion calculation for the heavy nuclides over a 30year reactor history, starting with a $93\%^{235}U - 7\%^{238}U$ fuel. We held the thorium concentration constant and varied the fissile concentration to

Table 7.1 Neutron Balance by Nuclide

	Absorptions	Fissions	Productions
	0.0261		
na se Be ng polarit i transferio da seria. Na se Be ng polarit i transferio da seria da seri	0.0159	0.0103	0.0205
7 1.	0.0172		
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{res}}$, where $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{res}}$ is the second sec	0.0274		
	0.0050	ant An Antara Antara an An Antara an Antara	an 1970 - State St
Leakage	0.0010		
Delayed neutrons	0.0051	n de la composition de Entre la composition de	
135 _{Xe}	0.0050		
Fissile stream			
233 ₀	0.9070	0.8047	2.0148
234 ₀ , in the second	0.0907	0.0005	0.0014
235 ₀	0.0844	0.0682	0.1664
236 ₀	0.0105	0.0001	0.0002
237 _{Np}	0.0009	•	
6 _{I.1}	0.0063		
149 _{Sm}	0,0077	n na 1744 in 186	
151 _{Sm}	0.0018		n an an Carlos an A
147 _{Pm}	0.0023	an tari An Alaman	
148m	0.0009		
143 _{Nd}	0.0019	ya tang tang tang tang tang tang tang tan	
145 _{Nd}	0.0008		
Other fission products	0.0086		
Fertile stream	a tanàna 2010 - ara 2014 ary 1020 2014 - Garage Angelo, ao amin'ny faritr'o dia mampiasa amin'ny faritr'o dia mampiasa amin'ny faritr'o dia mampi Ny faritr'o dia mampiasa amin'ny faritr'o dia mampiasa amin'ny faritr'o dia mampiasa amin'ny faritr'o dia mampi		
232 _{mh}	0.9825	0.0024	0.0056
233 _{Pa}	0,0078	ala da Angla (1997) en este este a cara a cara a tradito (1998) este a cara a	
233 _U	0.0086	0.0093	0.0190
234 _U	0.0000		
6 ₁₁	0.0017		
149 _{Sm}	0.0001		
151 _{Sm}	0.0001		arte de proposition de la composition d Composition de la composition de la comp
Other fission products	0.0007		
DODAT	0.0001		0.0070
TATAT	C+CC17	0.0977	C.CC(Y

Y

maintain criticality. Leakage and parasitic absorptions were held constant at the values determined by the LTM calculation, and the heavy nuclide reaction rates were obtained from the ASSAULT calculations. We assumed complete removal of plutonium isotopes on each cycle.

Figures 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 give a picture of the approach to equilibrium. The net breeding ratio, as defined in Fig. 7.3, is the quantity of fissionable material produced less the amount lost divided by the amount consumed in nuclear reactions. This quantity reached on equilibrium value of 1.054 and was 1.041 when averaged over the 30-year history. It is noteworthy that sale of surplus fissionable materials started after only four months of operation, although the breeding ratio did not reach unity until after about two years of operation. The difference of one and one-half years was caused by a reduction of fissile inventory to maintain criticality as the reactor shifted from 235 U to 233 U. It should also be noted that the 236 U concentration went through a maximum early in the reactor life and then decreased following the 235 U concentration decrease instead of gradually building up toward equilibrium as frequently assumed.

A good measure of the suitability of equilibrium calculations is the comparison of present-valued costs over the 30-year history with those for the equilibrium cycle. These are shown in Table 7.2, with the fuel yield and inventories taken from our calculations, and the other costs from ORNL-TM-1467.¹

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	30-Year History	Equilibrium Cycle
Fissile inventory	0.1806	0.1718
Fissile yield	-0.0773	-0.0862
Other costs	0.3665	0.3665
TOTAL	0.4698	0.4521

Table 7.2 Fuel Cycle Costs [mills/kwhr(e)]







 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}}$

56

. O.



2

CALENDAR YEARS

Fig. 7.3 Breeding Ratio During Startup.

The equilibrium cycle calculation was based on a value of \$14 per gm for 233 U and 233 Pa and \$12 per gm for 235 U. The interest rate was 10%. The 30-year cycle was calculated on a cash-flow basis with the same unit costs and a 6% discount factor. The "other costs" were taken from ORNL-TM-1467.¹

References

 P. R. Kasten et al., Summary of Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Design Studies, USAEC Report ORNL-TM-1467, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 24, 1966.

DISTRIBUTION

1-5.	DTIE,	OR
6-15.	M. W.	Rosenthal
16-20.	R.S.	Carlamith
21-25.	A. M.	Perry
26.	M. J.	Skinner

۰.